

# EXHIBIT K

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JOE ANDREW SALAZAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-01096-JRG-RSP

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED  
PATENT CASE**

**HTC CORPORATION'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM**

Defendant HTC Corporation ("HTC Corp.") proposes the following verdict form. HTC Corp.'s proposed verdict form is made without waiver of its pending motions, which, if granted, may render portions of the following verdict form unnecessary. HTC Corp. further reserves the right to amend, supplement, or modify this verdict form in light of further developments, including any remaining fact or expert discovery, and based on the evidence and arguments presented at trial. HTC Corp. expects that the parties will meet and confer to refine the verdict form as events continue to narrow the issues.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
MARSHALL DIVISION**

JOE ANDREW SALAZAR,

Plaintiff,

v.

HTC CORPORATION,

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 2:16-cv-01096-JRG-RSP

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED  
PATENT CASE**

**VERDICT FORM**

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Final Jury Instructions. Your answers to each question must be unanimous.

In this verdict form, “Mr. Salazar” refers to Plaintiff Joe Andrew Salazar. “HTC Corporation” refers to Defendant HTC Corporation. The ’467 Patent refers to U.S. Patent No. 5,802,467.

**QUESTION 1 – LITERAL INFRINGEMENT OF THE '467 PATENT:**

Did Mr. Salazar prove by a preponderance of the evidence that HTC Corporation's following products literally infringed the following claims of the '467 Patent?

**Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Product listed and each Claim listed.**

**A "Yes" is a finding for Mr. Salazar, and a "No" is a finding for HTC Corporation.**

| <b>'467 Patent Claim</b> | <b>HTC One M7</b> | <b>HTC One M8</b> | <b>HTC One M9</b> |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| <b>1</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>2</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>3</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>4</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>5</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>6</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>7</b>                 |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>27</b>                |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>28</b>                |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>29</b>                |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>30</b>                |                   |                   |                   |
| <b>34</b>                |                   |                   |                   |

**QUESTION 2 – INVALIDITY:**

Did HTC Corporation prove by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '467 patent are invalid:

**Answer “Yes” or “No” for each claim.**

**A “Yes” is a finding for HTC Corporation, and a “No” is a finding for Mr. Salazar.**

**'467 Patent**

|          |       |
|----------|-------|
| Claim 1  | _____ |
| Claim 2  | _____ |
| Claim 3  | _____ |
| Claim 4  | _____ |
| Claim 5  | _____ |
| Claim 6  | _____ |
| Claim 7  | _____ |
| Claim 27 | _____ |
| Claim 28 | _____ |
| Claim 29 | _____ |
| Claim 30 | _____ |
| Claim 34 | _____ |

**QUESTION 3 – DAMAGES:**

ANSWER QUESTION NO. 3 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND AT LEAST ONE PATENT CLAIM LISTED IN QUESTION NO. 1 INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID IN QUESTION NO. 2. OTHERWISE DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION NO. 3.

Did Mr. Salazar prove by a preponderance of the evidence that the patent owners of the '467 patent satisfied the marking requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 287?

**Answer “Yes” or “No”:**

**A “Yes” is a finding for Mr. Salazar, and a “No” is a finding for HTC Corporation.**

---

**QUESTION 4 – DAMAGES:**

ANSWER QUESTION NO. 4 ONLY IF YOU HAVE FOUND AT LEAST ONE PATENT CLAIM LISTED IN QUESTION NO. 1 INFRINGED AND NOT INVALID IN QUESTION NO. 2. OTHERWISE DO NOT ANSWER QUESTION NO. 4.

IF YOUR ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 3 IS NO, THEN ENTER \$0 IN ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 4. IF YOUR ANSWER TO QUESTION NO. 3 IS YES, THEN ANSWER QUESTION NO. 4.

What sum of money, if any, if paid now in cash, do you find by a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Mr. Salazar for any past infringement by HTC Corporation? As noted, only award damages for those patent claims you find infringed and valid.

**Answer in Dollars and Cents, if any:**

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

You have now reached the end of the verdict form and should review it to ensure it accurately reflects your unanimous determinations. The jury foreperson should then sign and date the verdict form in the spaces below and notify the Court Security Officer that you have reached a verdict. The jury foreperson should retain possession of the verdict form and bring it when the jury is brought back into the courtroom.

Signed this \_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2018.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Jury Foreperson